

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

PPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/803,547	03/18/2004	Jeffrey Siegel	56464-00520USPT	5678
23932 7	590 08/05/2005		EXAM	INER
JENKENS & GILCHRIST, PC			WILSON, LEE D	
1445 ROSS A	VENUE			
SUITE 3200			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
DALLAS, TX	75202		3723	

DATE MAILED: 08/05/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/803,547	SIEGEL ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	LEE D. WILSON	3723				
The MAILING DATE of this communic Period for Reply	cation appears on the cover sheet w	th the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOTHE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30). - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum states are to reply within the set or extended period for reply within the set or extended peri	CATION. of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a runication.) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thir utory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON will, by statute, cause the application to become A	eply be timely filed by (30) days will be considered timely. ITHS from the mailing date of this communication.				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed	d on					
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2	b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4) ☐ Claim(s) <u>1-30</u> is/are pending in the ap 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) <u>1-30</u> is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restrict	e withdrawn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any object	- • •	* *				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including to 11) The oath or declaration is objected to	•	` ' -				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) Potent and Trademath Office.						

Application/Control Number: 10/803,547 Page 2

Art Unit: 3723

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 1-4, 7, and 12-30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Rhyner (ch 665109 A5).

Rhyner (ch 665109 A5) discloses a cutting board having a base board (1), a sloping trough (7) with a concave arch (fig.3 and fig.6) that decreases in depth as the slide extends from the edge of an interior point (fig.6 and fig.1).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 5-6 and 8-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Rhyner (ch 665109 A5) in view of Gibson (5527022).
 - a. Rhyner discloses the claimed invention except for a texture and/or pebbled cutting surface with a trough surfaces being different in texture from the cutting surface.

Application/Control Number: 10/803,547 Page 3

Art Unit: 3723

b. Gibson discloses a cutting board having a cutting surface (36) with a texture and/or pebbled and a trough (28) surfaces being different in texture from the cutting surface which is an alternative configuration for processing food.

c. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified the Rhyner device by providing a cutting surface with a texture and/or pebbled and a trough surfaces being different in texture from the cutting surface as taught by Gibson which is an alternative configuration for processing food.

Response to Arguments

- 5. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.
 - d. This application was withdrawn from issue because a new reference was found by the SPE as part the second pair eyes. Applicant should respond to the new art and rejection now of record.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to LEE D. WILSON whose telephone number is 571-272-4499. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, JOSEPH HAIL can be reached on 571-272-4485. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/803,547

Art Unit: 3723

Page 4

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Ldw

August 3, 2005

LEED. WILSON